

Maya Gee

(b) (6)

09/23/2011 11:54 AM

To Elizabeth Allen

cc

bcc

Subject Re: question

Thank you Elizabeth,
We are available Monday before 1 p.m.
How about you ,Stu?
D/M

On Fri, Sep 23, 2011 at 10:53 AM, <Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:
Stu and Maya,

I apologize for taking so long to respond, I was in Portland on Wednesday, and home sick yesterday. I have to head to Portland again in a few minutes, but will be here on Monday if you want to have a call. It might also be helpful to include Brian Boling from the DEQ lab, as he is much more a true chemist.

Elizabeth

From: Maya Gee (b) (6)
To: agforensic@aol.com
Cc: Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/21/2011 08:35 AM
Subject: Re: question

Sounds like possibly tomorrow Thursday is open for Elizabeth.
We are available tomorrow as well.
If Stu and Dr. John are ,then please coordinate the time between Elizabeth and Stu (who is in touch with Dr. John) and let us know .
Our phone number is (b) (6)
Please let us know.
Thank you.

On Wed, Sep 21, 2011 at 8:12 AM, <agforensic@aol.com> wrote:
Elizabeth,
My name is Stuart Turner, I am a board certified agronomist (#02575) working with the Highway 36 group. I would like to visit with you

perhaps in a 3 way conference call with Dr. John Coddington, director of Anatek Labs, who is modifying the EPA To-4A procedure to find more of the compounds of current use by the larger forestry land managers in the area. I would enjoy discussing our sampling plan and protocol, and the analytical side of the program at your convenience.

Best Regards,
Stu Turner, CPAg
[509-539-5524](tel:509-539-5524)

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen.Elizabeth <Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov>
To: Maya Gee (b) (6)
Cc: Stuart Turner <agforensic@aol.com>; Karen BISHOP <karen.bishop@state.or.us>; Eron king (b) (6)
Sent: Mon, Sep 19, 2011 12:28 pm
Subject: Re: Re: question

Hi Maya,

I'll be in the office on Tuesday through mid-afternoon, before heading to Portland. I'll be back on Thursday, leaving Friday morning for Portland again, probably around 11 am.

Elizabeth

Elizabeth Allen
Office of Environmental Assessment, Risk Evaluation Unit
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101

[206-553-1807](tel:206-553-1807)
allen.elizabeth@epa.gov

From: Maya Gee (b) (6)
To: Stuart Turner <agforensic@aol.com>
Cc: Karen BISHOP <karen.bishop@state.or.us>, Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Eron king (b) (6)
Date: 09/16/2011 09:05 AM
Subject: Re: Re: question

Hi Stu,
We think it would be beneficial for you and Elizabeth Allen from EPA
to
have a conversation via phone in regards to our air study.
See the e mails below. She is very interested in hearing our strategy
and
offering her expertise, which we very much so appreciate.
We would like to set up a conference call with us all.
Please let us know.
Thank you!
The Gees

On Thu, Sep 15, 2011 at 11:01 AM, Karen BISHOP

[<karen.bishop@state.or.us>](mailto:karen.bishop@state.or.us)

> wrote:

Hi there,

I thought I'd pass this e-mail chain along to you. Do you have all
the
information you need? If you wanted to set up a call between
yourselves, Stu and Elizabeth, it sounds like that might be a good
idea.

Best,

Karen

>>> [<Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov>](mailto:Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov) Thursday, September 15, 2011

8:23 AM >>>

Hi Karen,

that's fine, but I don't think it something I haven't already told
them. I suspect there's a lot more going on with the sampling that
I'm
aware of, but I haven't really seen any details.

Elizabeth

-----"Karen BISHOP" [<karen.bishop@state.or.us>](mailto:karen.bishop@state.or.us) wrote: -----

To: Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Karen BISHOP" [<karen.bishop@state.or.us>](mailto:karen.bishop@state.or.us)

Date: 09/14/2011 09:13AM

Subject: Re: question

Thank you Elizabeth,

I appreciate your expertise and dedication to this. Would you mind if

I shared this with the Gees?

-Karen

>>> <Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov> Tuesday, September 13, 2011
6:29 PM >>>

Karen,

all I have is information that Dan and Maya sent to Richard Kaufmann,

and that included a pdf file from the manufacturer of the Hi-vol sampler. I looked at their web site, and found the reference to TO-4A

as the recommended analysis. Then I looked up the analyte list for

TO-4A, and only atrazine and 2,4-D were listed on the target list.

That

doesn't mean that Air Toxics, the lab that will be doing the analysis,

hasn't modified the method, and since TO-4 was published EPA has more

or

less gone to performance-based methods. I could call Heidi Hayes, the

technical director at the lab and ask in a general sense if they can

detect other analytes, but I doubt she can provide specifics about this

instance. They might be using another method, I don't know, I haven't

seen a sampling plan or anything resembling a QAPP. I don't know any

actual specifics about the sampling they are doing, other than they plan

to have more than one sample collected. So other than the fact that

I

have a lot of experience with and confidence in Air Toxics, while we'll

likely believe the results, there might not be much more we can do with

the data. And I'd certainly recommend having a discussion with the DEQ

lab before using the data in anything you might put together,

As I mentioned, my conceptual model is that air concentrations of the

vapor phase herbicides is likely most prevalent during and after spraying, and may drop off at a currently undetermined rate. I know

HQ

would like to know, and I'm hoping to use that as a partial funding mechanism. But if the lab analysis isn't looking for analytes other

than atrazine and 2,4-D, well, I'd be a little bit surprised to detect

those two in the fall. I emailed the Gees and offered to have a conference call with them, their consultant, myself, and our lab folks

to answer any questions and looks at any other info they wanted to provide, but never heard back from them. More so than wanting to use

the

data, I'd like to see the Gees get good value for their money.

Elizabeth

From:"Karen BISHOP" <karen.bishop@state.or.us>

To:Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date:09/13/2011 03:42 PM

Subject:Re: question

Hi Elizabeth.

So you have reviewed the information from the manufacturers of the sampling devices themselves, but Stu Turner is providing them with guidance that you're not sure it will capture what we are looking for.

Do I have that right? I'm a little nervous because they really want

to

conduct the monitoring in such a way that it will be valuable data, useable for us in our assessment. I just want to make sure that someone

is advising them on how to do that, since that is a big expectation.

I'm

wondering who might be able to follow up with them on how things

are

going. Any thoughts?

Thanks,

Karen

>>> <Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov> Tuesday, September 13, 2011

10:02

AM >>>

Hi Karen,

well, I looked at the information they sent, which was promotional material from the manufacturer. They are using a high-volume PUF sampler, which will collect a sample over 24 hrs. But I believe

they

are using Stuart Turner to select the equipment and collect the samples.

We have several such sampler at our lab. but they do require power (the

California Department of Pesticide Registration has used 12-volt car

batteries). Without a proper filter, it may not be possible to determine whether any detected analytes were present in the vapor phase

or adhered to airborne dust, and I don't know how they intend to measure

whether the dusts are of respirable size. My conceptual model is that

revolatilization of the herbicides probably falls off fairly

steeply

after application, and I'm not sure that the method that Stu Turner is

using can get the low detection limits I think they'll need. Also,

he

was proposing using EPA Method TO-4A, and only atrazine and 2,4-D

are

on

the analyte list (the method is actually for vapor phase PCBs and chlorinated insecticides), and neither compound is typically

sprayed

in

the fall, and not on Weyerhaeuser's notifications. Perhaps Air

Toxics

has modified the method. I can check.

Elizabeth

From:"Karen BISHOP" <karen.bishop@state.or.us>
To:Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date:09/13/2011 09:30 AM
Subject:question

Hi Elizabeth,
I'm wondering if you are the person who has been in touch with the
Gees
regarding their air monitoring. I'm asking because it hasn't been
clear
to us who is working with them to ensure their data will be usable.
Are
you?
Thanks,
Karen

Karen Bishop, MPH
Program Coordinator/ Health Educator/ Community Involvement
Environmental Health Assessment Program
Office of Environmental Public Health
www.healthoregon.org/ehap

[Karen.bishop@state.or.us](mailto:karen.bishop@state.or.us)
Tel: [971.673.1219](tel:971.673.1219)
Fax: [971.673.0979](tel:971.673.0979)